The year 2010 saw a moment of real transformative change for Kenya, with its people voting to adopt a new Constitution. This contains far reaching commitments to a wide range of rights and an ambitious vision of social equity and has the real potential to address historical injustices and grievances. According to one survey, almost 70% of Kenyan respondents believe that the new Constitution is likely to make the country more democratic and just over three-quarters believe it is likely to prevent violence in the 2012 elections (cited in Kramer and Posner, 2011). However a constitutional text alone does not lead to change – what matters is how these commitments are put into policy and practice.

The implementation schedule set out in the Constitution got underway in 2011. This Project Briefing draws on recent research for UNICEF to take stock of what has been achieved in the first year of implementation, and what still needs to be done to realise constitutional commitments to the rights of children and women.

The run up to the new Constitution

Social and political tensions escalated in Kenya during the 2007 elections, erupting into post-election violence that left around 1,500 people dead and some 300,000 displaced. The scale of the violence caught many by surprise but it reflected deep historical grievances and tensions over ethnicity, equity and land.

The legacies of colonialism had established a tradition of a state that served the interests of particular groups (ethnic and regional) who have dominated the political system and benefited from privileged access to land and public resources. This tradition was reinforced by the concentration of executive power in the Presidency, and the cumulative and deliberate weakening of the separation of powers, as well as checks and balances. The result has been a political system in which there is no effective horizontal accountability, (i.e. accountability across state institutions, often overseen by parliament or the judiciary) (Sundet et al., 2010).

These dynamics only added to increasingly volatile social, ethnic and regional cleavages, and entrenched patterns of social exclusion. This means that for this Constitution – and the new institutions it creates – to be effective, it must generate tangible progress on equity issues. This includes protection for particularly marginalised groups, such as women and children who face particular challenges (Box 1).

Constitutional reform was seen as a way to address these historical patterns of exclusion and marginalisation. Successive past attempts at reform, however, had failed to overcome political divisions (Akech, 2010).

Following the 2007/8 post-election conflict, there was enough political will to support a new Constitution. Yet, while there is a strong sense of popular support for this Constitution – with two thirds of Kenyans voting in favour of it – there are serious doubts about whether the political divisions that have fuelled past conflicts have been resolved. This has resulted in a deep sense of fragility and unease about the Constitution’s prospects.

The 2010 Constitution

The vision of social equity enshrined in the 2010 Constitution marks a break with the past. It aims to resolve practices and patterns of social exclusion and establishes the basis for a different narrative of state-society relations. Those who drafted it aimed to unpack the previous concentration of political power and improve mechanisms of accountability, to create
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Box 1. The social exclusion of women and children in Kenya
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Challenges for children:
- Inequalities in access to services, such as education, food, health and housing.
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- Widespread practice of FGM and early marriage.
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an environment that would allow more inclusive state-society relations and more equitable development outcomes.

Two key dimensions of the Constitution are of particular relevance: the realisation of social justice enshrined through an expanded Bill of Rights that can be reinforced by court rulings; and a range of institutional reforms to enable greater accountability (Box 2). In addition, the new Bill of Rights has a strong focus on the needs and entitlements of children and women – a step change from the previous situation.

Implementing the Constitution

Expectations for the Constitution remain very high. Yet the implementation process, scheduled to take place over five years, will require the effective management of these expectations as well as engagement at many levels to ensure that institutional and legislative reforms follow a coherent and effective trajectory.

Fundamentally, the viability of the vision of social equity enshrined in the Constitution may well depend on three issues:

- how resources are allocated: a key factor in whether the equity principles set out in the Constitution can be realised (particularly in terms of issues of regional and ethnic disparities)
- the degree to which more vulnerable groups are enabled to claim their rights – an important test of the Constitution’s ability to protect those who were previously marginalised
- the acceptance by key political elites to be bound – fundamentally – by the new rules of the game.

A range of key dynamics underpin the way in which different stakeholders approach these reform issues.

- At national level there are tensions over the weakening of key power bases, within the political sphere but also within the bureaucracy. Changes in the budget process, for example, mean significant shifts of decision-making from the Presidency and from the Treasury towards Parliament, the Ministry of Planning, the Auditor General and others.
- There is uneven non-state and civil society capacity to act as a watchdog on these issues. Some aspects are well covered – women’s rights, for example — but there are real risks that other crucial issues will be sidelined. This may be the case in relation to access to land for women, or children’s rights, which lack visibility on the public agenda.
- There are still potential constraints at the social and local levels. Prevailing cultural attitudes – particularly in relation to women – clash with the new rights set out in the Constitution. This means that in practice there is a gap between the progressive rights commitments in the Constitution and the realities of many vulnerable groups, including women and children on the ground.

At present, these issues are leading to fragmented and ad hoc approaches. Budget and finance reforms, for example, are being led by Treasury officials, and parallel communities are working on decentralisation, social policy and equity issues, and on legal empowerment around rights. But it is not clear that these groupings are working together enough in the process of implementing the Constitution and drafting new legislation. Unless these separate policy communities are brought together, there are dangers of parallel reform tracks and diverging agendas.

The principle of progressive realisation of rights may be one way to bridge these processes. This depends on the degree to which this can provide a space for constructive dialogue between different stakeholders and communities of practice, including economists (in budgetary processes) and rights activists (engaging with judicial review of rights). The new commitment of the State to the progressive realisation of social and economic rights enshrined in Art. 43 has heightened
The new Chief Justice, known to be a champion for reforms to advance rights, is seen as a promising step towards strengthening the space for holding the State accountable for rights. At the level of civil society, there appear to be some capabilities in place among some organisations to push for public interest litigation on rights. Finally, at the local level, there is evidence of attempts to nurture change in cultural attitudes, for instance on female genital mutilation, through engagement with key local actors such as religious leaders.

Such piecemeal dynamics in Kenya’s political and social landscape are important and may contribute to incremental steps towards new accountability narratives and mechanisms to support the vision of social equity of the new Constitution.
Next steps

While it is critical to recognise the gaps between the commitments laid out in the Kenyan Constitution and the existing realities for most Kenyans, there are also windows of opportunity for action. There are four particular areas where efforts could be focused in the short to medium term.

- **Greater dialogue across sectors and policy spheres:** Greater connections need to be drawn between reforms on public financial management and budget processes, and reforms that aim for social equity and rights realisation at national and subnational levels. It is crucial to bring policy communities together and find shared spaces for collaboration.

- **More strategic deployment of information and data:** There are significant data gaps, particularly in understanding how inequity is manifested within and between the newly created counties. There should be a strong focus on the generation and dissemination of information at subnational and national levels about key service delivery challenges, through forms of budgeting monitoring and transparency initiatives.

  - **More support to coalitions of key actors** across civil society and in government, on equity and rights issues, including service delivery. Opportunities should be explored for greater collaboration and collective problem solving, including with non-state actors. A focus on areas that are under-represented (such as children’s rights and child protection) could be particularly helpful.

- **Strategic recourse to the principle of 'progressive realisation':** Particular support is needed for forms of public interest litigation, with a focus on legal empowerment around newly enshrined social and economic rights. This can be combined with the promotion of the notion of progressive realisation within processes and policy spaces to support decisions on equitable resource allocation at national and county levels that take into account fiscal constraints.
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