
Introduction

The RAPID framework can be used as a conceptual framework to help researchers and policy entrepreneurs understand the role that evidence based research plays, amongst other issues, in influencing policy. The four components of the framework can provide the user with in-depth and valuable information regarding policy windows, key policy actors and networks, gaps in the existing evidence, alternative means of communication and trends and changes in the external environment. Unfortunately, addressing all these issues can prove a daunting task. This tool can be used to ease the process. Thus, it presents some of the key questions that the researcher or policy entrepreneur should answer.

Detailed outline of the process

This is a very flexible tool. The questions provided overleaf are only intended to guide the user in the process. It is the user who must assess whether the answers to these questions paint the whole picture of if other important questions remain unanswered. Once the questions have been answered the researcher or policy entrepreneur should consider what roles can the different policy actors (including him or herself) can play. For instance:

- Is there a need for more and/or different evidence? How can this new evidence be produced? Should NGOs, grassroots or think tanks and research centres be doing things differently? If there is sufficient evidence, does it need to be re-packaged and presented differently?
- Are the existing networks sufficient to carry research findings into the policy process? How can they be supported to improve their impact on policy? What new roles should these and new networks play?
- Are policy makers and policy structures supportive of evidence based policy making? If not, how can they be made to be so? What capacities and skills do they need to use evidence and link with researchers? How can policymakers promote the production of more and more relevant and useful research?
- How can the external forces be used to promote evidence based policymaking? Should the support networks and/or CSOs to promote the supply of evidence? Or should they work with policymakers to promote the demand of evidence?

Examples

The RAPID programme has used this tool in its analysis of various policy processes. They can be seen at: http://www.odi.org.uk/rapid/Projects/R0040a/Summary.html or in Spanish http://www.odi.org.uk/sites/odi.org.uk/files/odi-assets/publications-opinion-files/3516.pdf

Further information and resources

RAPID has produced a series of resources that can be accessed through its website at http://www.odi.org.uk/rapid/Index.html. RAPID’s Briefing Paper on bridging research and policy offers a good introduction into the subject. On page four, the Briefing Paper presents a table that can help move from the questions to an action strategy – it is available in English, French and Spanish (http://www.odi.org.uk/rapid/Publications/RAPID_BP_1.html). Similarly, other institutions working on similar issues can offer alternative and complementary frameworks to understand the links between research and policy (http://www.odi.org.uk/rapid/Links/Index.html).
The RAPID Framework: 28 Key Questions

External Environment
1. Who are main international actors in the policy process?
2. What influence do they have? Who influences them?
3. What are their aid priorities and policy agendas?
4. What are their research priorities and mechanisms?
5. How do social structures and customs affect the policy process?
6. Are there any overarching economic, political or social processes and trends?
7. Are there exogenous shocks and trends that affect the policy process?

Political Context
1. Who are the key policy actors (including policymakers)?
2. Is there a demand for research and new ideas among policymakers?
3. What are the sources of resistance to evidence based policymaking?
4. What is the policy environment?
   a. What are the policymaking structures?
   b. What are the policymaking processes?
   c. What is the relevant legal/policy framework?
   d. What are the opportunities and timing for input into formal processes?
5. How do global, national and community-level political, social and economic structures and interests affect the room for manoeuvre of policymakers?
6. Who shapes the aims and outputs of policies?
7. How do assumptions and prevailing narratives (which ones?) influence policymaking; to what extent are decisions routine, incremental, fundamental or emergent, and who supports or resists change?

Evidence
1. What is the current theory or prevailing narratives?
2. Is there enough evidence (research based, experience and statistics)?
   a. How divergent is the evidence?
3. What type of evidence exists?
   a. What type convinces policymakers?
   b. How is evidence presented?
4. Is the evidence relevant? Is it accurate, material and applicable?
5. How was the information gathered and by whom?
6. Are the evidence and the source perceived as credible and trustworthy by policy actors? Why was the evidence produced?
7. Has any information or research been ignored and why?