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This country study is a background paper for the report *Empty promises: G20 subsidies to oil, gas and coal production* by Oil Change International (OCI) and the Overseas Development Institute (ODI). It builds on research completed for an earlier report *The fossil fuel bailout: G20 subsidies to oil, gas and coal exploration*, published in 2014.

For the purposes of this country study, production subsidies for fossil fuels include: national subsidies, investment by state-owned enterprises, and public finance. A brief outline of the methodology can be found in this country summary. The full report provides a more detailed discussion of the methodology used for the country studies and sets out the technical and transparency issues linked to the identification of G20 subsidies to oil, gas and coal production.

The authors welcome feedback on both this country study and the full report to improve the accuracy and transparency of information on G20 government support to fossil fuel production.

A Data Sheet with data sources and further information for South Africa’s production subsidies is available at: http://www.odi.org/publications/10083-g20-subsidies-oil-gas-coal-production-south-africa

priceofoil.org
odi.org

Country Study
November 2015
Background

South Africa has the world’s ninth largest proven reserves of coal (3.4% of the global total) (BP, 2015) equating to 95% of all of the coal in Africa (EIA, 2015). Coal dominates the South African energy sector and provided more than 70% of primary energy in 2014 (BP, 2015). It is set to continue doing so into the medium term despite the growth of renewable and other fossil energy sources (Department of Energy, 2013). As well as accounting for 85% of the country’s electricity generation (EIA, 2015), coal is also transformed into synthetic gas and petroleum fuels that are widely consumed for a multitude of end-uses.

The country has limited proved reserves of oil and natural gas, but recent government-supported exploration of offshore fields and onshore shale deposits (reportedly the eighth largest in the world) (EIA, 2015) may increase oil and gas reserves in the coming years.

Most of South Africa’s oil is imported and locally refined with the majority of consumption by the transportation sector. In Africa, the country is second only to Egypt in terms of refining, with a capacity of 503,000 barrels per day (Oil & Gas Journal, 2015). A number of pipelines to transport refined products across South Africa are being planned, with an extension of capacity of a multi-fuel pipeline between Durban and Johannesburg.

Energy features heavily in South Africa’s national development plan; the government allocated $657 million to the Department of Energy for investment in the sector in 2014/15 and this amount is set to grow at 6% annually in the medium term (National Treasury, 2014). The Department of Energy oversees the oil and gas industry and, together with the Department of Mineral Resources, also oversees the coal mining industry. These departments also fund a number of state-owned enterprises (SOEs) that either facilitate or actively engage in exploration and extraction for fossil fuels. For example, the Central Energy Fund (CEF) is an SOE that oversees a range of exploration activities via its subsidiaries. The wholly owned subsidiaries of CEF operating in the fossil fuel sector are:

- PetroSA, which operates a gas-to-liquids refinery that uses domestic gas as feedstock
- Strategic Fuel Fund (SFF), which manages strategic crude oil infrastructure, strategic crude oil stocks, and provides oil pollution control services in Saldanha Bay
- iGas, which is a shareholder in the Mozambique-to-South Africa gas pipeline and is involved in the development of other gas delivery projects
- African Exploration Mining and Finance Corporation, which is mining coal for supply to Eskom and is concluding feasibility studies on expanding its operations
- the South African Agency for Promotion of Petroleum Exploration and Exploitation (PASA), which is the national petroleum and gas promotion and licensing agency.

Renewable energy activities of the holding company (CEF) are managed through the Clean Energy Division (CED). CEF also administers the Equalisation Fund on behalf of the Department of Energy and the Mine Health and Safety Fund on behalf of the Department of Mineral Resources. South Africa has long been considering a carbon dioxide tax with a charge of around $10 per tonne of carbon emitted by industrial sources. This was due to have been introduced at the start of 2015, though its implementation has since been delayed until at least 2016 (The Carbon Report, 2015).

National subsidies

There are a number of direct budgetary transfers that appear to benefit the production of fossil fuels in South Africa. For example, CEF’s budget includes an allocation to South African National Energy Development Institute (SANEDI) of $22 million (with around $8 million per year across 2013/14 to 2015/16) to fund its operations as well as to undertake specific research and development related to the carbon capture and hydraulic fracturing projects (National Treasury, Budget, 2013). Through its 10 subsidiaries, CEF is also mandated to finance and promote the acquisition of coal, the exploitation of coal deposits, the manufacture of liquid fuel, oil and other products from coal, and to market these products. CEF spent $12 million in 2013/14 and $13 million in 2014/15 on promoting the exploration and exploitation of crude oil and natural gas (National Treasury, Budget, 2014).

The government also made direct transfers to Eskom, municipalities and private enterprises in support of the Integrated National Electrification Programme. Total

---

1 The investment in the energy sector covers administration, energy policy and planning, petroleum and petroleum products regulation, electrification and energy programme and project management, nuclear energy and clean energy.
2 The Department of Energy oversees the midstream and downstream oil and gas industry. However, the upstream oil and gas industry is overseen by both the Department of Energy and the Department of Mineral Resources.
3 Annual allocation of budget for carbon capture and hydraulic fracturing projects out of the total budget is distributed in the same proportion as the division of the total budget of SANEDI in the year 2013/14, 2014/15 and 2015/16.
4 In South Africa’s Budget, research into hydraulic fracturing (fracking) for shale gas and ‘clean coal’ are funded under the ‘Renewable Energy’ sub-programme of CEF’s ‘Clean Energy’ programme.
Transfers equalled $387 million in 2013 and $368 million in 2014, with Eskom receiving 55% of the total in 2013 and 71% in 2014 (National Treasury, 2015). This programme is aimed at addressing gaps in electricity provision to permanently occupied residential dwellings, installing bulk infrastructure and rehabilitating electrification infrastructure, and is related primarily to distribution. In order to avoid double counting for the purposes of this research, Eskom’s activities in fossil fuel production are captured under SOE investment below, and not in national subsidies.

As well as a range of financial incentive schemes offered by the Department for Trade and Industry (DTI) to companies, which include those engaging in the exploration and development of fossil fuel reserves, the government also paid a grant to the state-owned oil and gas company PetroSA for project-based personnel training valued at $0.4 million in 2013 (ECIC, 2014; Department of Trade and Industry, 2013; CEF, 2013). It was not possible to determine the portion of these funds, if any, that supports fossil fuel production.

A number of tax expenditures are provided for fossil fuel exploration and extraction. In the oil and gas sector, exploration capital expenditure attracts a deduction equal to 200% of the investment in respect of exploration expenditures and 150% of the investment in post-exploration activities (including processing and separation), while investment in R&D attracts a 150% deduction (EY, 2015; Deloitte, 2013). As well as these ‘super’ deductions, oil and gas companies benefit from accelerated depreciation rates and, because projects are not ring-fenced, costs from other South African exploration, production or even refining activities may be offset against production revenue immediately or carried forward (EY, 2015; Deloitte, 2013). Similar accelerated depreciation rates for capital exploration activities are also in place in the coal industry for activities such as prospecting and the sinking of mine shafts (Curtis, 2009).

### Table 1: South Africa’s national subsidies to fossil fuel production, 2013–2014 ($ million except where stated otherwise)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsidy</th>
<th>Subsidy-type</th>
<th>Targeted energy source</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>2013 estimate</th>
<th>2014 estimate</th>
<th>Estimated annual amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct spending (including on infrastructure)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding for hydraulic fracturing (fracking) research</td>
<td>Direct government funding for research</td>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>Exploration and extraction (including an exploration component)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To promote exploration and exploitation of natural oil and gas</td>
<td>Direct government funding</td>
<td>Oil and gas</td>
<td>Exploration and extraction</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax expenditure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Super deduction for exploration</td>
<td>Tax breaks</td>
<td>Oil and gas</td>
<td>Exploration and extraction</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Super deduction for research and development (R&amp;D)</td>
<td>Tax breaks</td>
<td>Oil and gas</td>
<td>Exploration and extraction</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerated depreciation/ expensing</td>
<td>Tax breaks</td>
<td>Oil and gas, coal</td>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>213</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources and additional data are available in the Data Sheets that accompany each Country Study. Note: N/A indicates data was not publicly available at the time of publication.

The wholly state-owned enterprise PetroSA accounted for all oil and gas production and the majority of exploration activity in South Africa in 2014. PetroSA produces domestic oil and gas from four fields and averaged production of 2.1 million barrels of oil and 1.3 billion cubic metres of gas per year between 2013 and 2014 (PetroSA, 2015). The company also holds exploration rights in South Africa and Namibia and production rights in Ghana as well as operating the 35,000 barrels per day gas-to-liquids (GTL) refinery in Mossel Bay (PetroSA, 2015). However, private operators control the majority of the downstream sector.
In the 2014 financial year, PetroSA was engaged in three significant projects related to fossil fuel production and spanning the production technology chain. These include appraising and developing tiebacks, producing gas acreage (Project Ikhwezi), exploring the possibility of importing LNG for the Mossel Bay refinery, and investigating the potential for developing a new refinery (Project Mthombo) (PetroSA, 2015). Total capital expenditure amounted to $350 million in 2013 and $470 million in 2014, and was mainly related to the Project Ikhwezi field development. Commitments for 2015 totalled $970 million, including $410 million for Project Ikhwezi and $500 million for downstream activities (PetroSA, 2015).

Transnet is the state-owned company responsible for transporting fossil fuels throughout South Africa via rail and pipeline. In 2014, the rail business transported 90 million tonnes of coal, while the pipeline business transported 17 trillion litres of product including crude oil, diesel, petrol and aviation fuel. Capital expenditure in the same period was $3 billion compared to $3.2 billion in 2013, with the majority (74%) of 2014 spend allocated to modernising the general rail infrastructure. In 2014, just under $40 million were allocated to expanding the coal transport infrastructure specifically and $220 million to developing the new Multi-Product Pipeline (MPP), a project aimed at securing the long-term supply of products from the coastal regions to the interior (Transnet, 2015).

Eskom (100% state-owned) generated an annual average of 229 TWh of electricity in South Africa between 2013 and 2014, that is, 95% of the total distributed power (Eskom, 2015). The company also dominates the transmission sector. Recent years have seen recurrent outages and as a result Eskom has launched construction of new plants, notably one pumped storage hydro power plant (Ingula with a capacity of 1,332 MW) and two coal-fired power plants (Medupi with a capacity of 4,764 MW and Kusile with a capacity of 4,800 MW), as well as upgrades to the transmission and distribution network. The two coal-fired power stations are financed in part by domestic, foreign and multilateral finance institutions (see ‘Public finance’ section), with Eskom raising finance for the remainder.

In 2014, Eskom also spent $15 million on ‘demonstration and pilot projects’, mainly on its underground coal gasification project, and $2 million on research into ‘primary energy’ (Eskom, 2014).

Table 2 presents investment by SOEs in the 2013 to 2014 period. The table includes total capital expenditure as reported by PetroSA in the annual reports for these years.

Table 2: South Africa’s state-owned enterprise (SOE) investment, 2013–2014 ($ million except where stated otherwise)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOE</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Fossil fuel sector</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>Annual average value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Petro</td>
<td>Total Company Capex</td>
<td>Upstream &amp; midstream oil and gas</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transnet</td>
<td>Multiproduct pipeline</td>
<td>Distribution</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coal lines expansion</td>
<td>Coal Transportation</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eskom</td>
<td>Total Company Capex (net of non-fossil fuel costs)</td>
<td>Electricity Generation</td>
<td>4,921</td>
<td>4,337</td>
<td>4,629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5,370</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total SOE investment ($ m)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,370</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total SOE investment (Rand m)</td>
<td></td>
<td>57,244</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources and additional data are available in the Data Sheets that accompany each Country Study.

Note: N/A indicates data was not publicly available at the time of publication. When data is not available for both 2013 and 2014, the two-year average is based on the data for one year only.

5 A connection between a new oil and gas discovery and an existing production facility.

6 Total distributed generation equals power sent out by Eskom stations, plus purchases from Independent Power Producers (IPPs), plus wheeling, plus total imports, less generation consumed by Eskom (Eskom, 2015).

7 Underground coal gasification (UCG) involves the partial burning of unmineable coal in situ to release a mixture of combustible gases. This mixture can then be burned in power stations – producing CO₂ – or used as a chemical feedstock. Although the development of UCG promotes the use of previously inaccessible fossil fuel reserves, it was difficult to ascertain whether this falls within ‘exploration’ as detailed in the methodology. Therefore, although noted in this section, research funding for UCG was not added to the total for national subsidies.
For Transnet, investment in the Multi-Product Pipeline in 2013 and 2014 is captured as well as investment for the coal rail line expansion in 2014. For Eskom, all capital expenditure is included with the exception of spend on the (non-fossil) Ingula hydro plant.

**Public finance**

South Africa’s public finance for fossil fuels totalled $852 million between 2013 and 2014, averaging $425 million per year (Table 3). More than three quarters of the total was for downstream oil and gas activities, primarily for natural gas-fired power plants.

Only a small portion of the total – $141 million or about $70 million per year – went to domestic finance. The remainder was for international projects in Ghana, Mozambique, Tanzania, Rwanda and Zambia.

The Development Bank of South Africa, South Africa’s national development bank, was the biggest provider of public finance to fossil fuel production among South Africa’s public finance institutions, accounting for nearly half of the total.

The Export Credit Insurance Corporation, South Africa’s export credit agency, was the second largest provider of public finance for fossil fuel production, followed by the Industrial Development Corporation, which is South Africa’s largest development finance institution.

South Africa’s multilateral development bank shares translated into support for $66 million in public finance for fossil fuel production, or $33 million per year.

South Africa holds 20% of the shares in the New Development Bank, a new international financial institution scheduled to begin operations in 2016, with $50 billion in capital expected to rise to $100 billion over time. The New Development Bank could be a potential source of public finance for fossil fuel production in the future. Similarly, South Africa also holds 0.6% of the shares in the new $100 billion Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank.

---

### Table 3: South Africa’s public finance for fossil fuel production, 2013–2014 ($ million except where stated otherwise)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution name</th>
<th>Coal mining</th>
<th>Coal-fired power</th>
<th>Upstream oil and gas</th>
<th>Oil and gas pipelines, power plants and refineries</th>
<th>Total fossil fuel finance 2013 &amp; 2014</th>
<th>Annual avg. fossil fuel finance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Domestic</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Bank of Southern Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>141</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal domestic</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>141</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>International</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Bank of Southern Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>162</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial Development Corporation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>97</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Export Credit Insurance Corporation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>204</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multilateral Development Bank shares</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>49</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal international</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>512</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>425</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total public finance ($ m)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,536</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources and additional data are available in the Data Sheets that accompany each Country Study.

---

8 The South African Government provided a fiscal transfer to Transnet for the Multi Product Pipeline (MPP) in financial years 2010/11 through to 2012/12. This is outside the period under consideration.

9 It is likely that this includes some portion of investment attributable to non-fossil sources (e.g. transmission and distribution investment will also benefit nuclear and renewable assets), but given that capacity and generation in South Africa is predominantly fossil-based, it is considered that this will be minimal. Ingula capex is estimated at $0.38 billion for 2013 (Eskom, 2014); there is no data for 2014 but the project was closed down for much of the year due to fatalities, and it is therefore assumed zero spend in that year (Eskom, 2015).
Private companies

Private upstream oil and gas companies
Oil and gas activity in South Africa is dominated by the SOE PetroSA.

Private midstream/downstream oil and gas companies
Private companies dominate South Africa’s downstream oil and gas sector. These include the minority government-owned Sasol as well as foreign operators including BP (UK), Shell (Netherlands), Chevron (US), Total (France) and Engen (Malaysia).

Private coal companies
Large private companies dominate South Africa’s coal sector; five companies are responsible for more than 80% of total production. These include three multi-nationals – Anglo American, South 32 (previously BHP Billiton) and Glencore – that account for just over 50% of production with the remaining 30% produced by the domestic companies Exxaro and Sasol.

Private electricity companies (fossil fuel-based)
Private ownership of electricity generation is limited. Eskom generated 95% of the total distributed power in 2013 and 2014 (Eskom, 2015).

Table 4: Top private coal producers by production and profit in South Africa, 2013–2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Headquarter country</th>
<th>Coal production (in country, million tonnes)</th>
<th>Profit (from country operations, if possible) ($ m)</th>
<th>Profit Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anglo American</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>EBITDA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South 32</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>EBITDA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glencore</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>EBITDA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exxaro</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>Net Operating Profit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sasol</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>Operating Profit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Anglo American (2015); Glencore (2015a); Glencore (2015b); South 32 (2015a); South 32 (2015b); Sasol (2014); Exxaro (2015).
Note: Unless stated otherwise EBITDA= Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortisation.
Methodology
(for detailed methodology see Chapter 3 of main report)

This report compiles publicly available information on G20 subsidies to oil, gas and coal production across G20 countries in 2013 and 2014. It provides a baseline to track progress on the phase-out of such subsidies as part of a wider global energy transition. It uses the following terms and their definitions.

Production subsidies
Government support for fossil fuel production. For the purpose of this country study, production subsidies include national subsidies, investment by state-owned enterprises (SOEs) (domestic and international) and public finance (domestic and international) specifically for fossil fuel production.

Fossil fuel production
Production in the oil, gas and coal sectors. This includes access, exploration and appraisal, development, extraction, preparation, transport, plant construction and operation, distribution and decommissioning. Although subsidies for the consumption of fossil fuels can support their production, this report excludes such subsidies as well as subsidies for the consumption of fossil fuel-based electricity.

National subsidies
Direct spending, tax and duty exemptions and other mechanisms (such as forms of capacity markets) provided by national and sub-national governments to support fossil fuel production. Normally, the value assigned for a national subsidy is the number provided by the government’s own sources, by the OECD, or by an independent research institution.

State-owned enterprise (SOE) investment
A SOE is a legal entity created by a government to undertake commercial activities on its behalf. SOEs can be wholly or partially owned by governments.

It is difficult to identify the specific component of SOE investment that constitutes a subsidy, given the limited publicly available information on government transfers to SOEs (and vice-versa), and on the distribution of investment within their vertically integrated structures. Therefore, this report provides data on total investment by SOEs in fossil fuel production (where this information is available from the company), which are presented separately from national subsidies.

For the purpose of this report, 100% of the support provided to fossil fuel production through domestic and international investment by an SOE is considered when a government holds >50% of the shares.

Public finance
Public finance includes the provision of grants, equity, loans, guarantees and insurance by majority government-owned financial institutions for domestic and international fossil fuel production. Public finance is provided through institutions such as national and multilateral development banks, export credit agencies and domestic banks that are majority state-owned.

The transparency of investment data for public finance institutions varies. Assessing the portion of total financing that constitutes a subsidy requires detailed information on the financing terms, the portion of finance that is based directly on public resources (rather than raised on capital markets) or that depends on the institutions’ government-linked credit rating. Few of the institutions assessed allow public access to this information. Therefore, we report the total value of public finance from majority government-owned financial institutions for fossil fuel production separately from ‘national subsidy’ estimates.

For the purpose of this report, 100% of the support provided to fossil fuel production through domestic and international financing is considered when a government holds >50% of the shares in the bank or financial institution.
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